

Washington DC
April 7, 2008

VR Raveendran, Advocate
M/S Podipara & Associates
Advocates, Collectorate PO
Kottayam, Kerala 686 002
India.

Subject: Letter on behalf of your client Dr. Beena Gopinath, referenced 04/08/GN/VRR

Dear Raveendran:

It was indeed amusing and entertaining to read the letter referenced 04/08/GN/VRR dated 24th March 2008 from your office on behalf of your client Dr. Beena Gopinath.

First of all, I would like to congratulate your client, for having observed the mentioned "article" on the Alummoottil website. Her observance is outstanding, and must no doubt be applauded ☺

Secondly, I am glad you noticed that I have been and continue to be living in the United States of America. This "article" was prepared, uploaded to the internet and is hosted in the United States of America. It should not also come as a shock to you that the website aforementioned is also registered and housed entirely in the USA. You would be surprised sir, about the amount of freedom of speech that this country permits. Therefore I am quite astonished that an attorney would actually take pains to prepare a legal notice on a matter as juvenile as this and expect the recipient to take any kind of remedial actions.

Thirdly, I really doubt if Dr. Gopinath has the financial background to pursue this matter against me legally in the USA despite all her illegitimate inheritances. Say she did, update logs from the ISP will indicate that this "article" was published in May 2005 on the website from my residence in the USA. Regrettably, the notice came in a little too late to meet the 2 year statute of limitation, for filing civil action suits against Libel / Slander / Defamation in the Commonwealth of Virginia; this would dishearten me since I will not be able to countersue on a lawsuit that gets dismissed without admittance.

Fourthly, I would suggest that your client's "well wishers, friends and relatives" kindly stay off the Alummoottil website, if they do not have the spirit to digest the contents of the website. They could perhaps browse through www.playdonkey.com or a multitude of other children's websites which I believe have enough cartoons, pictures and games to offer them a rich entertainment experience.

I would not like to prolong this letter as this is not a matter worthy of my time. To summarize,

- It is clear that all your client wants is money; she has made it amply clear with the number of litigations she has cooked up and is continuing to cook up against my family members

and now me. But unfortunately, she is knocking on the wrong door (I so wanted to use the metaphor “barking up the wrong tree”, but then I would get another letter from you for illicitly comparing her with a canine, which as sure as entertaining it maybe, will not be worth my time). Jokes apart, on the advice of my uncle Das Madhavan, I have decided that we need to provide some compensation to your client on this “distressful” matter. Hence I have attached a penny (US\$0.01) to this mail which you may kindly handover to your client!

- I have not the slightest intention, now or anytime in the future, of removing the referenced “article” or of publishing any apology on this issue. Your client is very welcome to take legal action against me in any court of law criminal, civil or otherwise, in India, United States or elsewhere in the world. It would be rather an exciting experience for me 😊
- Note that I have also published the referenced letter from you demanding money on the site alongside the original “article” in addition to a copy of this response for the reading pleasure of your client’s “well wishers, friends and relatives”. May be they also should know that along with the properties that were whisked, daughter inherited daddy’s greed too!
- It also amazes me that after obtaining large shares of illegitimate inheritance, your client continues to exhibit such gluttonous tendencies. It also puts to question what she intends to do with such illicitly acquired money; perhaps she intends to make a generous contribution to the Pentecostal mission. Anyway, my humble request is not to mix issues up; so if your client wants more money for this letter too, make sure she makes separate claims so that I do not get confused which is for what and it is easy for me to publish them on the website😊
- Doesn’t your suggestion that the late Mr. Gopinathan only has a moral and intellectual character that can be defamed by an “article” such as this, bring into question the actual depth of his moral and intellectual mettle?
- With such silly and brash actions, your client is only further alienating the members of the family who are extending her an olive branch. I am generally an amicable person, and such don’t bear grudges on such silly matters. But sure, if your client wants to beat the war drums on this silly matter and ignore the larger matter at hand, which is the future of Alummoottil Tharavaad, I will personally, and with extensive pleasure, be more than happy to spearhead the litigation in court in lieu of my father and my uncles, till such day that we receive a favorable outcome.
- I would like to end this with some food for thought. In your letter you have written “The above said publication by the accused in the website directly and indirectly, in the estimation of others, lowered the moral and intellectual character of my client’s father and lowered the character of him and generally considered as disgraceful”. Now to a simpleton like me, this English statement simply means that your client’s father’s character is disgraceful. This is exactly my point too in the article!! So are we in agreement?

Thanking you for your kind attention to this matter of importance and wishing your client all the very best on all her future and boundless litigious pursuits,

Regards,

Anoop Madhavan
S/o Alummoottil Radhakrishnan Channar
Alummoottil Tharavad.